IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2920 OF 2005
ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2921 OF 2005
ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2922 OF 2005
ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2923 OF 2005
ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2924 OF 2005
ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2925 OF 2005
ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2926 OF 2005
ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2927 OF 2005
ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2928 OF 2005

Shri. Suresh Uttam Sonawane ...Applicant

Versus

Nashik Merchants Co-op. Bank Ltd. & Anr. ...Respondents

.....

Mr. M.N. Sandhyanshiv, Advocate for Applicant.

Mr. S.R. Shinde, APP in 2920 & 2926/2005.
Mr. Y.S. Shinde, APP. in 2921 & 2927/2005.
Mr. D.P. Adsule, APP. in 2922 of 2005.
Mr. V.B. Konde Deshmukh, APP. in 2923 of 2005.
Ms. S.V. Gajare, APP. in 2924 of 2005.
Mr. Rajesh More, APP in 2925 of 2005.
Mr. P.S. Hingorani, APP. in 2928 of 2005.

.....

CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.

DATED: 13TH JULY, 2005

P. C.:-

1. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The applicant has prayed for quashing of process issued against him in Criminal Case Nos.931/2004, 932/2004, 7978/2003, 7980/2003, 7979/2003, 7981/2003, 8533/2003, 7977/2003, 7976/2003 which are pending in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, First Class at Nashik. In the said case, process has been issued against the applicant under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act.

3. For the reasons recorded in my order dated 13th June, 2005 passed in Criminal Writ Petition No.213 of 2005 and order dated 21st June, 2005 passed in Criminal Application No. 550 of 2005, the applicant has an efficacious remedy of preferring revision against the order of Magistrate issuing process. Hence, in my opinion, it would be appropriate that the applicant prefers revision before the concerned Sessions Court against the order of the Magistrate issuing process.

4. Liberty is granted to the applicant to prefer revision before the concerned Sessions Court. The learned advocate for the applicant states that the necessary revision would be preferred within a period of four weeks from today. In view of this statement, the trial Court shall not proceed with the trial for a period of four weeks from today.

5. On the necessary revision being preferred by the applicant before the concerned Sessions Court, the concerned Sessions Court shall dispose of the same on merits after hearing necessary parties.

6. Applications are disposed of.

*****